

## The behavioural, social and cultural impact of Smokefree legislation in England

- Legislation to prohibit smoking in public places is intended to protect adults and children from the health-damaging effects of environmental tobacco smoke and has the potential to reduce socio-economic inequalities in smoking prevalence.
- This report summarises findings from a research project which examined the behavioural, social and cultural impact of Smokefree legislation, implemented on 1<sup>st</sup> July 2007, in six localities in the north and south of England.
- Some smokers (generally the more affluent) anticipated Smokefree by cutting down or setting a quit date, and more of the affluent locations had no smoking areas or complete bans in public indoor spaces before 1<sup>st</sup> July 2007.
- There was a high degree of compliance with Smokefree in public places, with only a few minor infringements observed or reported.
- There was a general pattern of reduced consumption among participants in all locations, including cutting down and, to a lesser extent, quitting.
- There were shifts in attitudes from initial resentment to acceptance, and a growing perception of the personal and environmental benefits of Smokefree.
- Within the less advantaged localities in the north of England, a small number of smokers said they now smoked more in their homes post-Smokefree.
  Nevertheless, overall there was no evidence of a major shift from public to private smoking; most people said that they were not smoking more at home.
- Many respondents reported decreased tobacco consumption while out socialising in public social settings. This was not only because of the inconvenience of going outdoors to smoke, but also because of a perception that their greater visibility as a smoker attracted public disapproval.
- In areas of disadvantage, some older men and women with children curtailed social activities and experienced a sense of loss of the pleasures of socialising in bars and cafés where they could smoke with friends.
- Working with families, couples and social networks should be considered alongside more traditional individual-level approaches to delivering smoking cessation interventions.

## Details of the research team

Stephen Platt<sup>1</sup>, Amanda Amos<sup>1</sup>, Christine Godfrey<sup>2</sup>, Claudia Martin<sup>3</sup>, Deborah Ritchie<sup>4</sup>, Martin White<sup>5</sup>, Katrina Hargreaves<sup>6</sup>, Gill Highet.<sup>1</sup>

1. Community Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh; 2. Department of Health Sciences, University of York; 3. Scottish Centre for Social Research, Edinburgh; 4. School of Health in Social Science, University of Edinburgh; 5. Institute of Health & Society, Newcastle University; 6. Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education, London.

## **Address for Correspondence**

Professor Stephen Platt, Community Health Sciences, School of Clinical Sciences & Community Health, University of Edinburgh, Teviot Place, Edinburgh EH8 9AG. Email address: <a href="mailto:steve.platt@ed.ac.uk">steve.platt@ed.ac.uk</a>

**About PHRC**: The Public Health Research Consortium (PHRC) is funded by the Department of Health Policy Research Programme. The PHRC brings together researchers from 11 UK institutions and aims to strengthen the evidence base for public health, with a strong emphasis on tackling socioeconomic inequalities in health. For more information, visit: <a href="https://www.york.ac.uk/phrc/index.htm">www.york.ac.uk/phrc/index.htm</a>

**Disclaimer**: The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the PHRC or the Department of Health Policy Research Programme.